# PRACTICE EXERCISES, LIMITS/COLIMITS, DEGREE

# R. VIRK

### Contents

1.	Some practice with exact sequences	1
2.	Limits and colimits	2
3.	Degree	4

#### 1. Some practice with exact sequences

1.1. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A?

1.2. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to A \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A?

1.3. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about  $A \mathbin?$ 

1.4. Exercise. Suppose

 $0 \to A \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$ 

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A?

1.5. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A?

1.6. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A?

1.7. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A?

1.8. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to A \to \mathbf{Z} \to B \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A and B?

1.9. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to A \to B \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A and B?

R. VIRK

1.10. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to B \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A and B?

1.11. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to A \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to B \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A and B?

(

1.12. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to \mathbf{Z} \to B \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A and B?

1.13. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to B \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A and B?

1.14. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to A \to B \to \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A and B?

1.15. Exercise. Suppose

$$0 \to \mathbf{Z} \to A \to B \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. What can you say about A and B?

1.16. **Exercise.** Compute the kernel and cokernel of the following maps of abelian groups

$$\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & -\mathrm{id} \\ \mathrm{id} & -\mathrm{id} \end{pmatrix}} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}, \quad \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & \mathrm{id} \\ -\mathrm{id} & -\mathrm{id} \end{pmatrix}} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}.$$

The meaning of the 'matrix' notation above should be clear from the following examples. Suppose  $f, g: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  are group homomorphisms, then

$$\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \text{ is the map } a \mapsto (f(a), g(a)),$$
$$\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{(f \ g)} \mathbf{Z} \text{ is the map } (a, b) \mapsto f(a) + g(b),$$
$$\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} f & \mathrm{id} \\ -\mathrm{id} & g \end{pmatrix}} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \text{ is the map } (a, b) \mapsto (f(a) + b, -a + g(b)).$$

## 2. Limits and colimits

2.1. Let I be a small category (i.e., the objects of I form a set as opposed to just a class). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any category. An I-shaped diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor  $D: I \to \mathcal{C}$ . A morphism  $D \to D'$  of I shaped diagrams is a natural transformation, and we have the category  $\mathcal{C}^I$  of I-shaped diagrams in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Every object X of  $\mathcal{C}$  determines the constant diagram  $\underline{X}$  that sends each object of I to X and sends each morphism of I to  $\mathrm{id}_X$ . A cone of an I-shaped diagram D is an object X of  $\mathcal{C}$  together with a morphism of diagrams  $\underline{X} \to D$ . The limit, denoted  $\lim_{i \to I} D$  is a universal (final) cone of D. That is, if  $f: \underline{Y} \to D$  is a cone of the diagram D, then there is a unique map  $g: \underline{Y} \to \lim_{i \to I} D$  such that  $f = i \circ g$ , where  $i: \lim_{i \to I} D \to D$  is the diagram morphism part of the data of the cone  $\lim_{i \to I} D$ . 2.2. The dual notion, obtained by reversing all the arrows in the definition of a limit, is that of *colimit* of a diagram D, denoted  $\varinjlim D$ . That is, one defines a *cocone* as an object X of  $\mathcal{C}$  together with a morphism of diagrams  $D \to \underline{X}$ . Then the colimit is a universal (initial) cocone of D: if  $f: D \to \underline{Y}$  is a cocone of the diagram, then there is a *unique* map  $g: \varinjlim D \to \underline{Y}$  such that  $f = g \circ i$ , where  $i: D \to \varinjlim D$  is the diagram morphism part of the data of the cocone  $\lim D$ .

2.3. Limits and colimits, if they exist, are unique up to canonical isomorphism.

2.4. Example. Let *I* be the category

• •

where a '•' denotes an object and the morphisms are the identity morphisms plus the arrows shown (composition given in the obvious way). Then limits indexed by I are called *products*. For instance, a product in the category of sets is the usual cartesian product. Colimits indexed by I are called *coproducts*. In the category of sets coproducts are given by disjoint unions.

2.5. **Example.** Let *I* be the empty category. A limit indexed by *I* is called an *initial* object. A colimit indexed by *I* is called a *final* object. In the category of sets the empty set is the initial object and the one point set is the final object. If a category has an initial and final object and both of these coincide then we call the object a *zero* object. Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category with a zero object 0 (often such categories are called *pointed*). Then, by definition, there is a unique map  $X \to 0$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . Similarly, there is a unique map  $0 \to X$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ . The composition  $X \to 0 \to X$  is called the *zero map*. For instance, this notion corresponds to the usual one for the category of abelian groups.

2.6. Exercise. Compute the colimits (if they exist) of the following diagrams in **Top** and in **hTop**:



where  $S^1 \to D^2$  is the inclusion of  $S^1$  as the boundary of  $D^2$  and  $S^1 \to \text{pt}$  is the obvious map.

2.7. Exercise. Let  $i: A \hookrightarrow X$  be the inclusion of a subspace. Compute the colimit of the following diagram in **Top** 

$$\begin{array}{c} A \xrightarrow{i} X \\ \downarrow \\ pt \end{array}$$

What about the colimit of this same diagram in hTop?

2.8. Exercise. Let Vect be the category of vector spaces over some fixed field k. Compute the limits and colimits (if they exist) of the following diagrams in Vect:



2.9. Exercise. Compute the limits and colimits (if they exist) of the diagrams in the previous exercise, but this time assume that the diagrams are in Ab.

#### R. VIRK

2.10. Exercise. Compute the limits and colimits (if they exist) of the diagrams in the previous exercise, but this time assume that the diagrams are in Top.

2.11. Exercise. Compute the limits and colimits (if they exist) of the diagrams in the previous exercise, but this time assume that the diagrams are in hTop.

2.12. Exercise. Formulate the notions of kernels, cokernels and images of a map (of abelian groups and/or vector spaces) in terms of limits and colimits.

2.13. **Problem.** Do all limits and colimits exist in the following categories: **Top**, **hTop**, **Ab**?

2.14. **Problem.** There is an obvious functor  $\mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{hTop}$ . Hence, we may view the  $H^q s$  as either functors  $\mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{Ab}$  or as functors  $\mathbf{hTop} \to \mathbf{Ab}$ . Do the functors  $H^q$ :  $\mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{Ab}$  send limits to colimits and send limits to colimits? What about if we ask the same question but with " $\mathbf{Top}$ ' in the previous sentence replaced with  $\mathbf{hTop}$ '?

#### 3. Degree

3.1. Let  $f: S^n \to S^n$  be a map. Then the endomorphism  $f^*: \tilde{H}^n(S^n) \to \tilde{H}^n(S^n)$ may be identified with an integer,  $\deg(f)$ , called the *degree* of f. Certainly:

- (i)  $\deg(id) = 1;$
- (ii)  $\deg(fg) = \deg(g) \cdot \deg(f);$
- (iii) if f is homotopic to g, then  $\deg(f) = \deg(g)$ ;
- (iv) if f is not surjective, then  $\deg(f) = 0$ ;
- (v) if f is a homotopy equivalence, then  $\deg(f) = \pm 1$ .

#### 3.2. Proposition. Define

$$f_n: S^n \to S^n, \qquad (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}).$$

Then  $\deg(f) = -1$ .

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on n. The statement is easy to check for n = 0. Assume n > 0. Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be the complement of the north pole and the south pole respectively. Then  $f(D_i) \subseteq D_i$ . Let  $i: S^{n-1} \hookrightarrow D_1 \cap D_2$  be the inclusion of the equator. Then i is a homotopy equivalence and using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\tilde{H}^{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \xleftarrow{i^*} \tilde{H}^{n-1}(D_1 \cap D_2) \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{H}^n(S^n) \\
f^*_{n-1} \uparrow f^*_n \uparrow f^*_n \uparrow f^*_n \uparrow \\
\tilde{H}^{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \xleftarrow{i^*} \tilde{H}^{n-1}(D_1 \cap D_2) \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{H}^n(S^n)$$

Here all the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms. The result follows.

3.3. Exercise. Why does the result follow from the commutative diagram?

- 3.4. Exercise. Prove the n = 0 case.
- 3.5. Proposition. Define

 $s: S^n \to S^n, \qquad (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, -x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}).$ Then deg(s) = -1.

*Proof.* Let  $h: S^n \to S^n$  be the map that interchanges the first and the *i*-th coordinate. As h is a homeomorphism,  $\deg(h) = \pm 1$ . Further,  $s = h^{-1}f_nh$ , where  $f_n$  is as in the previous Proposition. So  $\deg(s) = \deg(h^{-1}f_nh) = \deg(f_n)$ .

3.6. Let X be a space. Recall that the *(unreduced) suspension*, SX, is the space obtained by collapsing  $X \times \{0\} \subset X \times I$  and  $X \times \{1\} \subset X \times I$  to (distinct) points. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a map. Define  $Sf: SX \to SY$  by  $(x, a) \mapsto (f(x), a)$ . If f is homotopic to g, then Sf is homotopic to Sg. Hence, we obtain a functor  $S: \mathbf{hTop} \to \mathbf{hTop}$ .

3.7. **Proposition.** Let  $f: S^n \to S^n$  be a map. Then  $\deg(Sf) = \deg(f)$ .

*Proof.* Exactly the same as that of Proposition 3.2.

3.8. The antipode map  $\alpha \colon S^n \to S^n$  is given by  $x \mapsto -x$ .

3.9. **Proposition.**  $deg(\alpha) = (-1)^{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* The map  $\alpha$  is the composition of n + 1 maps of degree -1. So the result follows from Proposition 3.2.

3.10. **Proposition.** Let  $f, g: S^n \to S^n$  be maps such that  $f(x) \neq g(x)$  for all x. Then f is homotopic to  $\alpha g$ , where  $\alpha: S^n \to S^n$  is the antipode map.

*Proof sketch.* As  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ , the line joining f(x) and -g(x) does not pass through the origin. Projecting this line out from the origin to the sphere gives the desired homotopy.

3.11. Exercise. Make this proof precise by explicitly giving the homotopy.

3.12. Corollary. Let  $f: S^{2n} \to S^{2n}$  be a map. Then there is some  $x \in S^{2n}$  such that  $f(x) = \pm x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha: S^{2n} \to S^{2n}$  be the antipode map. Suppose  $f(x) \neq x$  for all x. Then f is homotopic to  $\alpha$ . Similarly, if  $f(x) \neq -x$  for all x, then f is homotopic to  $\alpha^2 = \text{id}$ . But  $\deg(\alpha) = -1 \neq \deg(\text{id})$ . In particular,  $\alpha$  is not homotopic to the identity. The result follows.

3.13. Let X and Y be spaces with chosen basepoints  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . Then the *wedge* of X and Y, denoted  $X \lor Y$ , is the space obtained by identifying x and y in  $X \sqcup Y$ .

3.14. Exercise. Express  $X \vee Y$  as a limit or a colimit in Top.

3.15. Exercise. Show that under 'reasonable assumptions on the points x and y', there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\tilde{H}^q(X \lor Y) \simeq \tilde{H}^q(X) \oplus \tilde{H}^q(Y).$$

Is the above statement true if we replaced reduced cohomology with unreduced cohomology?

3.16. Let  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  be disjoint open sets in  $S^n$  each homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $f: S^n \to Y$  be a map that maps  $S^n - \bigcup_i U_i$  to a point  $y \in Y$ . Collapsing  $S^n - \bigcup_j U_j$  to a point gives a space homeomorphic to the k-fold wedge of n-spheres. It follows that f factors as

$$S^n \xrightarrow{g} S^n \vee \dots \vee S^n \xrightarrow{h} Y,$$

where the wedge sum has k terms. Let

$$i_j \colon S^n \hookrightarrow S^n \lor \cdots \lor S^n$$
 and  $p_j \colon S^n \lor \cdots \lor S^n \to S^n$ 

be the inclusion of, and the projection on, the j-th factor respectively. Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence one deduces

$$\begin{pmatrix} i_1 \\ \vdots \\ i_k^* \end{pmatrix} : \tilde{H}^*(S^n \lor \cdots \lor S^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{H}^*(S^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{H}^*(S^n)$$

is an isomorphism. Its inverse is given by

$$(p_1^* \cdots p_k^*) : \tilde{H}^*(S^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{H}^*(S^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{H}^*(S^n \vee \cdots \vee S^n).$$

Let  $g_j = p_j g$ ,  $h_j = h i_j$  and  $f_j = h_j g_j$ . Then

$$f^* = g^* h^* = g^* \left(\sum_j p_j^* i_j^*\right) h^* = \sum_j f_j^*.$$

Hence, if  $Y = S^n$ , then  $\deg(f) = \sum_j \deg(f_j)$ . Note that  $f_j$  is f on  $U_j$  and maps the complement to y.

3.17. **Proposition.** View  $S^1$  as a subspace of **C**. Let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Define  $f: S^1 \to S^1$ ,  $z \mapsto z^k$ . Then  $\deg(f) = k$ .

Proof sketch. It suffices to assume  $k \ge 0$ . The case k = 0 is obvious, so assume k > 0. We use the notation in the above discussion. Divide  $S^1$  into k open arcs of equal length (these are the  $U_j$  above). Now  $f_j$  stretches the corresponding arc by a factor of k (in the same direction), wraps it around the circle and maps the complement to a point. Each  $f_j$  is homotopic to the identity. The result follows.  $\Box$ 

3.18. **Exercise.** Why does it suffice to assume  $k \ge 0$ ?

3.19. Exercise. Make the above proof precise by explicitly defining the arcs and giving explicit homotopies between the  $f_i$  and the identity map.

3.20. Exercise. For  $n \neq 0$ , construct maps  $S^n \to S^n$  of arbitrary degree.

3.21. **Exercise.** Either construct a surjective map  $S^1 \to S^1$  of degree 0 or show that no such map can exist.

Department of Mathematics, CU-Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309  $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{virk@math.colorado.edu}$