## THE GROTHENDIECK GROUP OF THE DERIVED CATEGORY

## R. VIRK

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category, let  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$  be the associated bounded derived category. Denote by  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  the Grothendieck group of  $\mathcal{C}$ , this is the free abelian group on isomorphism classes [M] of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  modulo the relations [M] = [N] + [L] for every exact sequence  $0 \to N \to M \to L \to 0$ . Similarly,  $K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}))$  is defined as the free abelian group on isomorphism classes [X] of complexes in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$  modulo the relations [X] = [Y] + [Z] for every distinguished triangle  $Y \to X \to Z \rightsquigarrow Y[1]$ . We are using the convention that for a complex  $X = (X^i, d_X^i)$ , the complex X[n] is given by  $(X[n])^i = X^{n+i}$  and  $d_{X[n]}^i = (-1)^n d_X^{i+n}$ .

**Lemma 0.1.** With notation as above, let X be a complex in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$  with cohomology concentrated in exactly one degree, then in  $K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}))$  we have that

$$[X] + [X[-1]] = 0.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that X has exactly one non-zero component, say  $X^i$ . Let Y be the complex

$$\cdots \to 0 \to 0 \to X^i \stackrel{\text{id}}{\to} X^i \to 0 \to 0 \to \cdots$$

where the first  $X^i$  is in degree *i*. Then  $Y \simeq 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$ . Furthermore, we have a commutative diagram

The mapping cone of the resulting map is isomorphic to X[-1].

Remark 0.2. The argument in the latter part of the proof of the next proposition shows that the above lemma is in fact true for an abitrary complex X in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Proposition 0.3.** With notation as before

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})).$$

*Proof.* Let X be a complex in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$ . We define an element in  $K_0(\mathcal{C})$  associated to X, the *Euler characteristic* of X as

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i [H^i(X)].$$

If  $X \sim X'$  in  $D^b(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $H^i(X) \sim H^i(X')$ , hence  $\chi(X) = \chi(X')$ . Moreover, if  $Y \to X \to Z \rightsquigarrow Y[1]$  is a distinguished triangle in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$ , then in  $\mathcal{C}$  we have the long exact sequence of cohomology

$$\cdots \to H^i(Y^i) \to H^i(X^i) \to H^i(Z^i) \to H^{i+1}(Y^{i+1}) \to \cdots$$

which gives that  $\chi(X) = \chi(Y) + \chi(Z)$ . Thus, we have a well defined group homomorphism

$$\alpha: K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})) \to K_0(\mathcal{C}),$$
$$[X] \mapsto \chi(X).$$

Using the canonical embedding,  $\iota : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$  given by viewing an object of  $\mathcal{C}$  as a complex with cohomology concentrated in degree 0, we get a group homomorphism

$$\beta: K_0(\mathcal{C}) \to K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})),$$
$$[X] \mapsto [\iota(X)].$$

It is clear that  $\alpha \circ \beta = \mathrm{id}_{K_0(\mathcal{C})}$ . On the other hand, for any complex  $X \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ , we claim that

$$[X] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i [\iota(H^i(X))].$$

This is seen as follows: let  $X^i$  be the largest non-zero component of X. Then the following diagram is commutative

$$\xrightarrow{X^{i-3}} X^{i-2} \xrightarrow{X^{i-1}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \operatorname{im}(\varphi) \longrightarrow 0$$
  
$$\underset{id}{\operatorname{id}} \underset{id}{\operatorname{id}} \underset{id}{\operatorname{jd}} \underset{\varphi}{\operatorname{id}} \underset{X^{i-3}}{\operatorname{id}} \xrightarrow{\chi^{i-2}} X^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} X^{i} \longrightarrow 0$$

The mapping cone of the resulting map is isomorphic to  $\iota(H^i(X))[-i]$  in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C})$ , now using the previous lemma and iterating this construction on the top row of the above diagram we get that  $[X] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i [\iota(H^i(X))]$ . Thus,  $\beta \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}_{K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}))}$ .

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706  $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ wirk@math.wisc.edu$