

## MOTIVIC LERAY-HIRSCH

RAHBAR VIRK

Write  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  for the triangulated category of motives, over a field  $k$ , with  $\Lambda$ -coefficients [CD, Definition 11.1.1]. Write ‘scheme’ in lieu of ‘separated scheme of finite type over  $k$ ’. There is a covariant functor  $X \mapsto M^c(X)$  from the category of schemes and proper morphisms to  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  (in the notation of [CD],  $M^c(X) = a_* a^! \Lambda$ , where  $a: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  is the structure morphism). The functor  $M^c(X)$  behaves like a Borel-Moore homology theory. The category  $DM(k; \Lambda)$  is a symmetric monoidal triangulated category, and  $M^c(X \times Y) = M^c(X) \otimes M^c(Y)$ . The motive  $M^c(\text{Spec}(k))$  is the unit object. It is convenient to set  $\Lambda = M^c(\text{Spec}(k))$ . Let  $H_M^i(X; \Lambda(j))$  denote the motivic cohomology groups of  $X$ , as defined in [CD, §11.2]. These are contravariant functors from the category of schemes to  $\Lambda$ -modules. Each  $e \in H^i(X; \Lambda(j))$  determines a canonical map

$$e \cap: M^c(X)(-j)[-i] \rightarrow M^c(X).$$

**Proposition 0.1** (Leray-Hirsch). *Let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes. Assume that  $Y$  may be covered by open subschemes  $U_i$  such that:*

- (i) *for each  $U_i$ , there is a finite étale morphism  $f_i: V_i \rightarrow U_i$ , with degree invertible in  $\Lambda$ , such that  $X \times_Y V_i \simeq V_i \times F$ , for some fixed scheme  $F$ ;*
- (ii)  *$M^c(F)$  is a direct sum of Tate motives;*
- (iii) *there exist  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in H^{2*}(X; \Lambda(*))$  that restrict to a basis of  $H^{2*}(F; \Lambda(*))$  for each fibre inclusion  $F \rightarrow X$ .*

*Then there is an isomorphism*

$$M^c(X) \simeq M^c(Y) \otimes M^c(F).$$

*Proof.* Let  $d_i$  denote the degree of  $e_i$ . Set  $d = \dim(F)$ . Each  $e_i$  determines a map

$$M^c(Y)(d - d_i)[2(d - d_i)] \xrightarrow{p^*} M^c(X)(-d_i)[-2d_i] \xrightarrow{e_i \cap} M^c(X).$$

Summing these, we obtain a map

$$\bigoplus_i M^c(Y)(d - d_i)[2(d - d_i)] \rightarrow M^c(X).$$

As  $F$  is a direct sum of Tate motives, this may be rewritten as a map

$$\theta_Y: M^c(Y) \otimes M^c(F) \rightarrow M^c(X).$$

The map  $\theta_Y$  is clearly an isomorphism if  $p$  is the projection  $Y \times F \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $f_i: V_i \rightarrow U_i$  be as in the Proposition. It suffices to prove each  $\theta_{U_i}$  is an isomorphism. As  $f_{i*} f_i^*$  is multiplication by the degree of  $f_i$ , the result follows.  $\square$

### REFERENCES

[CD] D-C. CISINSKI, F. DÉGLISE, *Triangulated categories of mixed motives*, arXiv:0912.2110v3.